IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8272 of 1996
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5559 of 1997
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 324 of 1998
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 325 of 1998
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 326 of 1998
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6930 of 1998
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8712 of 1998
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5008 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble CHIEF JUSTICE MR DM DHARMADHIKARI
and
Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

JARU NARAN BHIMA
AHIR SAMJI DEVA
SAMAT JAKHA AHIR
SAMAT MERA KHATARIYA
KUMBHA KASHA HARIJAN
RAMJI TEJA AHIR
KANORIA CHEMICALS AND INDUSTRIES LTD.
KUVARSINH KARANSINH ZALA AND OTHERS

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Applications No.8272 of 1996, 5559 of 1997, 324 of 1998, 325 of 1998, 326 of 1998, 6930 of 1998 and 8712 of 1998

MR KS JHAVERI for Petitioners

MR SN SHELAT, AAG with MS HARSHA DEVANI, AGP for Respondent State

2. Special Civil Application No. 5008 of 1999

MR BD KARIA for Petitioner

MR SN SHELAT, AAG with MS HARSHA DEVANI for Respondent State

CORAM : CHIEF JUSTICE MR DM DHARMADHIKARI

and

MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 26/04/2000

COMMON ORAL JUDGEMENT

Per: (Mr. B.C. PATEL, J.)

These petitions are filed as the State Government refused to renew lease in favour of the petitioners on the ground that the Notification has been issued by the State Government in connection with Wildlife Sanctuary. In the order, it is indicated that the land in question forms part of the sanctuary.

2. Mr. S.N. Shelat, learned Additional Advocate General stated that the matter is under consideration and the survey settlement is being undertaken and the rights will be decided after the report is submitted to the Government and thereafter it would be for the State Government to take appropriate decision in accordance with law. Therefore, at this stage these petitions are not required to be entertained. Hence, these petitions are disposed of. Rule discharged.

(D.M. DHARMADHIKARI, CJ)

(B.C. PATEL, J.)

hki